SLOVENIA. NON-INSPECTION ACTIVITIES

Title of the non-inspection activity: "Eliminate conflicts at work"

Sector covered by the non-inspection activity: all economic sectors

Duration of the non-inspection activity: 1.2.20217 – 31.3.2023

Aim of the non-inspection activity: informing/helping employers about correct use of legislation Scope of the non-inspection activity (description): organising of events where participants (employers) from all geographical area were invited, specialist prepared and carried out certain topics for participants in order better understanding and execution OSH legislation

The number of establishments covered by the non-inspection activity: **7,501 enterprises/8,962**participants on 51 seminars and 214 events/lectures

The number of labour inspectors involved in implementing the non-inspection activity: 2

The number of specialised staff (but not labour inspectors) involved in implementing the non-inspection activity: 1

The number of persons involved in supervising the implementation of the non-inspection activity (including the preparation of communication and promotional materials and summing up its results): 2

Background of the non-inspection activity: Improving the situation in the OSH

Budget of the non-inspection activity: 1.925.000 €

Short summary of the outcome of the non-inspection activity:

The project involved 7,501 participants (employers). They participated at least one of our events and got the information we shared with them. We noticed afterwards some of participants started asking the right questions about the topics and started implementing some changes in companies in order to improve approach to safety and health at workplace.

| No. | question | yes/no | comment | | |
|-------|---|-----------|---|--|--|
| | Non- | inspectio | n activity | | |
| A. St | A. Stakeholders cooperating with the labour inspectorate in non-inspection activity | | | | |
| 1. | Were external stakeholders involved in | the imple | mentation of the non-inspection activity: | | |
| a) | From the public sector? | NO | | | |
| b) | From the private sector? | NO | | | |
| 2. | Does your national legislation specify | YES | What are these rules? | | |
| | the rules of cooperation with | | Inspectorate cooperates with state bodies | | |
| | stakeholders in non-inspection | | and other public and private entities | | |
| | activity? | | working in the fields within its competence, | | |
| | | | as well as with trade union or professional | | |
| | | | associations of workers and employers' | | |
| | | | associations. The Inspectorate also | | |
| | | | cooperates with research and educational | | |
| | | | organisations and with experts. | | |
| | | | Do the wide limit or an author to state (least) | | |
| | | | Do the rules limit cooperation to state (local) | | |
| | | | authorities or do they permit cooperation with private sector stakeholders too? | | |
| | | | Inspectorate cooperates with state bodies | | |
| | | | and other public and private entities | | |
| | | | working in the fields within its competence. | | |
| | | | | | |
| 3. | While selecting stakeholders for | NO | | | |
| | cooperation during the non- | | | | |
| | inspection activity, was any account | | | | |
| | taken of the results of the | | | | |
| | inspectorate's cooperation with such | | | | |
| | stakeholders during previous | | | | |
| | initiatives? | | | | |
| B. Sc | ources of project financing in the labo | ur inspec | torate's activity | | |
| 4. | Was the project (of non-inspection | NO | | | |
| | activity) financed solely by the labour | | EU 80%, Slovenia 20% | | |
| | inspectorate? | | | | |

| C. Pr | C. Preparation of the project (of non-inspection activity) | | | |
|-------|--|-----------|--|--|
| 5. | What sources were used to specify | | Please choose from the following (it is possible | |
| | the described non-inspection | | to choose more than one answer): | |
| | activity? | | inspectorate's own database of workplace | |
| | | | accidents | |
| | | | proposals of stakeholders – employer | |
| | | | organizations | |
| | | | omplaints; | |
| | | | information in mass media (please specify) | |
| | | | radio, television, newspapers, social networks | |
| | | | | |
| 6. | How was the project (of non-inspection | activity) | prepared? | |
| a) | By an organisational unit of the | NO | | |
| | labour inspectorate | | | |
| b) | By a group/team established ad hoc | YES | Who were the members of the group? | |
| | to implement the project | | A new project unit was organised within the | |
| | | | Inspectorate. The members were employees | |
| | | | of the inspectorate. | |
| | | | | |
| | | | Who verified consistency of the implemented | |
| | | | project with the approved plans? | |
| | | | Ministry of Labour, Family, Social Affairs | |
| | | V | and Equal Opportunities | |
| | | | | |
| | | | To what extent consistency of the | |
| | | | implemented project with the approved plans | |
| | | | was verified? | |
| | | | 100% | |
| c) | By an external entity (e.g. another | NO | | |
| | public authority or a private | | | |
| | enterprise) | | | |
| 7. | Was the project (of non-inspection | NO | | |
| | activity) related to an inspection task? | | | |

| 8. | During which phase was the | | |
|-------|--|------------|---|
| | inspection task launched? | | prior to the non-inspection activity, |
| 9. | What components were included in | | training, advice, recommendations, |
| | the plan of actions (of non-inspection | | awareness-raising, presentation of good |
| | activity)? | | practices |
| 10. | How was the target group of the non-i | nspection | activity specified? |
| a) | In the plan of the non-inspection | YES | |
| | activity. | | |
| b) | In a different way. | NO | |
| 11. | What criteria were used while | | - cover all regions in the country; |
| | selecting the target group? | | - accidents at work, violations detected in a |
| | | | particular activity, |
| | | | - interest of the stakeholder |
| 12. | Were IT tools used to identify the | NO | |
| | priority area in the described non- | | |
| | inspection project? | | |
| 13. | Were IT tools used to identify the | NO | |
| | target group of activities carried out | | |
| | within the described non-inspection | | |
| | project? | | |
| D. Ty | ypes of tasks undertaken during imple | ementatio | on of the non-inspection activity |
| 14. | What activities were carried out so as | | training sessions |
| | to implement the project of the non- | V | distribution of publications, audio-visual |
| | inspection activity? | | materials; |
| | | | preventive programmes (programmes for |
| | | | enterprises to improve working conditions, |
| | | | based on voluntary participation) |
| | | | provision of advice |
| | | | sharing experience and information during |
| | | | seminars and conferences |
| | | | information on the Inspectorate's own |
| | | | websites |
| 15. | How were the contents of the project (| of non-ins | spection activity) disseminated? |

| | I | | |
|------|---------------------------------------|-----------|--|
| a) | The mass media used to disseminate | | How many (regional/national) newspapers/ |
| | the message (tick the appropriate | | periodicals, (regional/national) TV and radio |
| | answer) | | stations did you cooperate with? |
| | – regional press | YES | several times per year in cases where |
| | – national press | NO | promotion is needed to ensure higher |
| | – regional TV | NO | participation |
| | – national TV, | NO | |
| | – local radio station, | NO | How frequently did the message appear in |
| | – national radio station, | NO | each of the used mass media? In what period |
| | – e-bulletins for subscribers | NO | did the message appear? |
| | – information websites | YES | See above |
| | – specialist websites | NO | |
| | – websites addressed to the target | YES | What percentage of the target group was |
| | group of the LI's activities | | reached by the message via each of the mass |
| | – advertising with the use of an | NO | media involved in the non-inspection activity? |
| | Internet search engine, e.g. Google | | - N/A |
| | – advertising with the use of an | NO | |
| | Internet advertising network, e.g. | | |
| | Google Ads | | |
| | – others (please, specify) | YES | |
| | The project's official car has been | | |
| | used for this purpose | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| b) | Other ways of informing the general | YES | The project's official car was painted with |
| | public about the non-inspection | | signs and promotions. |
| | activity. | | |
| 16. | Was effectiveness proven during | NO | |
| | previous projects taken into account | | |
| | while selecting activities for the | | |
| | described project of non-inspection | | |
| | activity? | | |
| E. M | ethods of disseminating information a | about the | project of the non-inspection activity |
| | | | |

| 17. | Did you develop any plan to | NO | |
|--------|---|-----------|--|
| | communicate the information about | | |
| | the project to: | | |
| a) | stakeholders in the sector covered by | | |
| | the non-inspection activity ? | | |
| b) | the general public? | | |
| | | | |
| 18. | Did you assess the effectiveness of | NO | V — |
| | communication of the project | | |
| | contents to stakeholders in the sector | | |
| | covered by the non-inspection | | |
| | activity or to the general public? | | |
| a) | If so, who did it and in what way? | | |
| b) | If so, please specify the three most | | |
| | effective ways to communicate | | |
| | information about the project to | | |
| | stakeholders in the sector covered by | | |
| | the non-inspection activity. | | |
| c) | If so, please specify the three most | | |
| | effective ways to communicate | | |
| | information about the project to the | | |
| | general public. | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| F. Ev | aluation of the non-inspection activity | y. Method | ds and tools used to evaluate non-inspection |
| initia | atives. | | |
| 19. | Were the project results evaluated? | YES | What indicators were used for evaluation? |
| | | | attendance at conferences, coverage of all |
| | | | economic sectors, marks received for the |
| | | | seminar carried out |
| 20. | How were the project results evaluated | d? | |
| a) | Was any final summary evaluation of | YES | What was the scope of such evaluation? |
| | the non-inspection activity made? | | |
| | | | |

| | | | Report on project content, objectives, final |
|-----|---|-----|--|
| | | | results, activities, statistics. |
| b) | Were partial assessments made | YES | What was the frequency of partial |
| | during the non-inspection activity? | | assessments? |
| | | | Once a year at the end of the calendar year |
| | | | What was the scope of partial assessments? |
| | | | Report on project content, objectives, |
| | | 10 | partial results, activities in last year, |
| | | | statistics (partial and common). |
| c) | Did you prepare a formal document | NO | |
| | with evaluation of the promotional | | |
| | campaign after its completion? | | |
| 21. | Were the effects of the non- | NO | |
| | inspection activity evaluated? | | |
| 22. | What tools and methods were used to evaluate the effects of the non-inspection activity? | | |
| a) | Evaluation by labour inspectors | NO | |
| | during inspection of establishments | | |
| | covered by the promotional | | |
| | campaign. | | |
| b) | Checklists filled out by | NO | |
| | establishments covered by the | | |
| | promotional campaign. | | |
| c) | Questionnaires (surveys) addressed | NO | |
| | to establishments covered by the | | |
| | campaign. | | |
| d) | Other tools and methods applied | NO | |
| | during evaluation of the promotional | | |
| | campaign. | | |
| 23. | Were IT tools used to assess the | NO | |
| | effectiveness of the non-inspection | | |
| | campaign? | | |
| | | | |