

## HUNGARY. NON-INSPECTION ACTIVITIES

Title of the non-inspection activity: **"Safety Week" programme**

Duration of the non-inspection activity: **16-22 September 2024**

Aim of the non-inspection activity: **raising awareness of occupational safety among students**

Scope of the non-inspection activity (description): **upper secondary students**

Sector covered by the non-inspection activity: **all sectors concerned**

The number of establishments covered by the non-inspection activity: **all territorial inspectorates (20) are involved nationally and a central activity is also carried out by the OSH department in the Ministry**

The number of labour inspectors involved in implementing the non-inspection activity: **Varies by county according to the organisation of the government office**

The number of specialised staff (but not labour inspectors) involved in implementing the non-inspection activity: **varies by county according to the organisation of the government office**

The number of persons involved in supervising the implementation of the non-inspection activity (including the preparation of communication and promotional materials and summing up its results): **1 person per county (20) for the preparation of regional reports, 1 person at the OSH department at the Ministry or the summary.**

Background of the non-inspection activity: **Between 18 and 22 September, police, disaster management, ambulance service, Red Cross and many other volunteer organisations, as well as insurance professionals, drew the attention of around 5200 pupils from two dozen schools in eleven locations in Budapest and Pest County with spectacular demonstrations and presentations on everyday risks, dangers, their correct handling, the importance of preventing accidents and how to do it. Domestic injuries also top the list of accidents, followed by accidents at work, traffic accidents and sports accidents. However, most accidents can be prevented with awareness, proper attention and by following a few basic rules. This is precisely what the Hungarian Association of Insurance Companies (MABISZ) and the National Accident Prevention Committee of the National Police Headquarters (ORFK OBB), a joint initiative of the Hungarian Association of Insurance Companies (MABISZ) and the National Police Headquarters National Accident Prevention Committee (ORFK OBB), wanted to draw attention to - and, above all, the attention of families through children - by organising a Safety Week, which gave the youngest generations a playful taste of the basics of safe living. This week was inspired by the fact that 20 September has been World Children's Day since 1954 and World Insurance Day since 1993. 21 September is also the day of a new European initiative, the European Day without Road**

Victims, or EDWARD Day, launched in 2016 by TISPOL (European Road Police Network). This initiative has been joined by the Labour Inspectorate.

Budget of the non-inspection activity: It did not require a separate budget, the existing staff carried out the task in their working time, within their own operational framework, using existing leaflets and professional publications

Short summary of the outcome of the non-inspection activity: As tradition has it, the National University of Public Service hosted the opening event, which was opened by Karolina Molnár, Deputy State Secretary for Strategic Affairs of the Ministry of the Interior. The Ministry of National Economy's Department of Labour Protection Management also took part in the opening day of the programme, which aims to promote safety awareness among young people before they enter the world of work. During the event, the ministry's occupational safety and health experts drew the attention of children and adult visitors to the potential sources of danger at work, the correct management of risks and the importance of preventing accidents and illnesses. The series of events took place throughout the week in all the counties of the country, with school programmes, family days, accident prevention demonstrations and information sessions. List of Cooperating Partners: National Police Headquarters National Accident Prevention Committee, Association of Hungarian Insurance Companies, National Fire Prevention Committee run by the National Directorate General for Disaster Management of the Police, Hungarian Red Cross and the Hungarian Red Cross Pest County, Institute of Transport Sciences, National Food Chain Safety Office, Hungarian Automobile Club, Hungarian Public Road Nonprofit Zrt., County Accident Prevention Committees, National Civil Guard Association, Budapest City Government Office Public Health Department, National University of Public Service Faculty of Law, Budapest Capital XI. District Újbuda Municipality, FKF Nonprofit Zrt., National Association of Hungarian Rescue Dog Organisations, Transport Science Association, Bringing Academy, Hungarian Water Utility Association, National Directorate General for Water, ABUS Hungária Kft, Association of Independent Insurance Associations in Hungary, Budapest Transport Centre, Ministry of Interior, Ministry of Finance, Ministry of National Economy, HUMDA Hungarian Automobile-Motorsport Development Agency Zrt., National Ambulance Service, Hungarian Judo Federation, Municipality of the City of Kecskemét, National Association of Private Transport Operators - NIT Hungary, Partnership for Road Safety Association /HRSP, MKIF Hungarian Concession Infrastructure Development Ltd., Széchenyi University - University of Győr, Hungary's Comprehensive Health Protection Screening Programme, Hungarian Fire Protection Association, Foundation for Generations' Security, The OSH Authority was present in most of the counties. Reports on OSH events in the county are available here:

[https://mvff.munka.hu/#/20241008\\_mv\\_eredmenyesen\\_zarult\\_a\\_biztonsag\\_hete\\_programsorozat](https://mvff.munka.hu/#/20241008_mv_eredmenyesen_zarult_a_biztonsag_hete_programsorozat)

No.	question	yes/no	comment
<b>Non-inspection activity</b>			
<b>A. Stakeholders cooperating with the labour inspectorate in non-inspection activity</b>			
1.	Were external stakeholders involved in the implementation of the non-inspection activity:		
a)	From the public sector?	YES	<p>Please specify them.</p> <p><b>The list of cooperating partners was detailed earlier</b></p> <p>What was the scope of such cooperation?</p> <p><b>In addition to the obvious accident prevention objectives of Safety Week, the added value of the cooperation is that the fruitful cooperation between the participants, which has been developed and strengthened in the context of Safety Week, will also serve to implement further programmes.</b></p> <p>Who verified consistency of the implemented project with the approved plans?</p> <p><b>Organizers: the MABISZ and ORFK OBB</b></p> <p>To what extent consistency of the implemented project with the approved plans was verified?</p> <p><b>continuous monitoring and control of implementation and events</b></p>
b)	From the private sector?	YES	<p>Please specify them.</p> <p><b>The list of cooperating partners was detailed earlier.</b></p>
2.	Does your national legislation specify the rules of cooperation with stakeholders in non-inspection activity?	NO	
3.	While selecting stakeholders for cooperation during the non-inspection activity, was any account	YES	If so, how were the results of such cooperation during previous joint undertakings documented?

	taken of the results of the inspectorate's cooperation with such stakeholders during previous initiatives?		<b>organiser took into account</b>
<b>B. Sources of project financing in the labour inspectorate's activity</b>			
4.	Was the project (of non-inspection activity) financed solely by the labour inspectorate?	NO	If not, please specify other authorities, institutions and stakeholders co-financing the project and the percentage of partners' financial contribution to the project. <b>No information is available on the funding of the organiser, but the participation of the OSH authorities was funded by the county government offices within their own operational budgets</b>
<b>C. Preparation of the project (of non-inspection activity)</b>			
5.	What sources were used to specify the described non-inspection activity? <b>not applicable to the activity</b>		
6.	How was the project (of non-inspection activity) prepared?		
a)	By an organisational unit of the labour inspectorate	YES	If so, what is the range of the duties of the unit responsible for the preparation of the project? <b>National occupational safety and health inspectorates of the county government offices</b>  If so, how many members of staff are employed in the unit responsible for the preparation of the project? (number of staff employed in the unit: ... and number of staff preparing the project: ...) <b>approx. 40 people</b>
b)	By a group/team established ad hoc to implement the project	NO	
c)	By an external entity (e.g. another	YES	What was that entity? (What were those

	public authority or a private enterprise)		entities?) <b>The Organisers (MABISZ and ORFK OBB)</b>
7.	Was the project (of non-inspection activity) related to an inspection task?	NO	
10.	How was the target group of the non-inspection activity specified?		
a)	In the plan of the non-inspection activity.	NO	
b)	In a different way.	YES	Please specify. <b>The main target group of the "Safety Week" thematic week has been the upper primary school age group, as defined by the organizers for years.</b>
11.	What criteria were used while selecting the target group?		<b>The target group was defined by the initiators of the programme</b>
12.	Were IT tools used to identify the priority area in the described non-inspection project?	NO	
13.	Were IT tools used to identify the target group of activities carried out within the described non-inspection project?	NO	
<b>D. Types of tasks undertaken during implementation of the non-inspection activity</b>			
14.	What activities were carried out so as to implement the project of the non-inspection activity?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> distribution of publications, audio-visual materials; <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> provision of advice <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> sharing experience and information during seminars and conferences
15.	How were the contents of the project (of non-inspection activity) disseminated?		
a)	The mass media used to disseminate the message (tick the appropriate answer)		
	– regional press	YES	
	– national press	YES	
	– regional TV	YES	

	– national TV,	YES	
	– local radio station,		
	– national radio station,		
	– e-bulletins for subscribers		
	– information websites	YES	
	– specialist websites		
	– websites addressed to the target group of the LI's activities		
	– advertising with the use of an Internet search engine, e.g. Google		
	– advertising with the use of an Internet advertising network, e.g. Google Ads		
	– others (please, specify) .....		
b)	Other ways of informing the general public about the non-inspection activity.		What were they? (please specify) <b>Social media pages of organisers and participating organisations (Instagram, Facebook)</b>
16.	Was effectiveness proven during previous projects taken into account while selecting activities for the described project of non-inspection activity?	NO	
<b>E. Methods of disseminating information about the project of the non-inspection activity</b>			
17.	Did you develop any plan to communicate the information about the project to:		
a)	stakeholders in the sector covered by the non-inspection activity ?	NO	If so, what information did the communication plan contain? <b>ORFK and MABISZ were responsible for organising the event</b>
b)	the general public?	NO	
18.	Did you assess the effectiveness of	NO	

	communication of the project contents to stakeholders in the sector covered by the non-inspection activity or to the general public?		
<b>F. Evaluation of the non-inspection activity. Methods and tools used to evaluate non-inspection initiatives.</b>			
19.	Were the project results evaluated?	YES	If so, what indicators were used for evaluation? <b>Number of participants, leaflets distributed</b>
20.	How were the project <b>results</b> evaluated?		
a)	Was any final summary evaluation of the non-inspection activity made?	YES	If so, what was the scope of such evaluation? <b>it is mentioned at the mentioned website/document and the briefing also at:</b> <a href="https://mabisz.hu/biztonsag hete/">https://mabisz.hu/biztonsag hete/</a>
b)	Were partial assessments made during the non-inspection activity?	YES	
c)	Did you prepare a formal document with evaluation of the promotional campaign after its completion?	YES	If so, was the formal document on evaluation prepared by: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the labour inspectorate <b>county reports</b> <a href="https://mvff.munka.hu/#/20241008_mv_eredmenyesen_zarult_a_biztonsag_hete_programsorozat">https://mvff.munka.hu/#/20241008_mv_eredmenyesen_zarult_a_biztonsag_hete_programsorozat</a>
21.	Were the <b>effects</b> of the non-inspection activity evaluated?	NO	
22.	What tools and methods were used to evaluate the <b>effects</b> of the non-inspection activity? <b>not applicable to the activity</b>		
a)	Evaluation by labour inspectors during inspection of establishments covered by the promotional campaign.	NO	
b)	Checklists filled out by establishments covered by the promotional campaign.	NO	
c)	Questionnaires (surveys) addressed	NO	

	to establishments covered by the campaign.		
d)	Other tools and methods applied during evaluation of the promotional campaign.	NO	
23.	Were IT tools used to assess the effectiveness of the non-inspection campaign?	NO	