CYPRUS. INSPECTION ACTIVITIES

Title of the inspection activities: National OSH Inspection campaigns in Cyprus by the Department of Labour Inspection (DLI)

Duration of the inspection activities: Two year OSH Inspection program, which includes monthly, bimonthly and 3- month specific inspection campaigns.

Aim of the inspection activities: To ensure compliance of employers with National OSH legislation, targeting high-risk workplaces, where occupational accidents and diseases prevail.

Scope of the inspection activities: All workplaces in Cyprus, with a priority on those workplaces falling under the defined criteria of the National OSH Inspection Programme.

Sector covered by inspections: **Pre-defined sectors of economic activity areas**

The number of establishments inspected in the framework of the activities: The number varies according to the targeting of the specific inspection campaigns.

The number of labour inspectors involved in implementing the inspection activities: **Approximately 30**OSH Labour Inspectors take part in each campaign.

The number of persons involved in supervising the implementation of the inspection activities (including development of inspection documents and summarizing results of inspections): One Labour Inspection Officer (LIO) at the DLI Headquarters is the coordinator of each OSH inspection campaign. The Head and a Coordinator at each of the 5 District Labour Inspection Offices also participate.

Short summary of the outcome of the inspection activities: Compliance of employers with relevant OSH legislation in Cyprus, minimizing of work accidents, dangerous occurrences, and occupational diseases. Relevant feedback reports regarding the activities of the Inspectors from all district offices, for each inspection campaign, are further utilized in the planning of the next two year OSH Inspection programs of the DLI.

| Inspection activity A. Selecting priority areas for inspection 1. What sources of information did you usein selecting the priority area for inspection? □ EU Strategic Framework Health and Safety at Work 20 □ SLIC recommendations (of Labour Inspection Systems SLIC European Campaign of 2024 SLIC Inspection Campaign Musculoskeletal Disorders □ complaints; | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 1. What sources of information did you usein selecting the priority area for inspection? □ EU Strategic Framework Health and Safety at Work 20 □ SLIC recommendations (of Labour Inspection Systems of 2024 SLIC Inspection Campaign Musculoskeletal Disorders □ complaints; | Inspection activity | | | | |
| usein selecting the priority area for inspection? □ EU Strategic Framework Health and Safety at Work 20 □ SLIC recommendations (of Labour Inspection System SLIC European Campaign of 2024 SLIC Inspection Campaign Musculoskeletal Disorders □ complaints; | | | | | |
| inspection? □ EU Strategic Framework Health and Safety at Work 20 □ SLIC recommendations (of Labour Inspection Syste SLIC European Campaign of 2024 SLIC Inspection Campaign Musculoskeletal Disorders □ complaints; | pase of | | | | |
| Health and Safety at Work 20 SLIC recommendations (of Labour Inspection Syste SLIC European Campaign of 2024 SLIC Inspection Campaign Musculoskeletal Disorders Complaints; | | | | | |
| SLIC recommendations (of Labour Inspection System SLIC European Campaign of 2024 SLIC Inspection Campaign Musculoskeletal Disorders in complaints; | on | | | | |
| of Labour Inspection System SLIC European Campaign of 2024 SLIC Inspection Campaign Musculoskeletal Disorders Complaints; |)21-2027 | | | | |
| SLIC European Campaign A of 2024 SLIC Inspection Campaign Musculoskeletal Disorders in complaints; | Cyprus evaluation | | | | |
| of 2024 SLIC Inspection Campaign Musculoskeletal Disorders Complaints; | em of 2019) | | | | |
| SLIC Inspection Campaign Musculoskeletal Disorders Complaints; | Accidents at Work | | | | |
| Musculoskeletal Disorders ighthat complaints; | | | | | |
| ⊠ complaints; | on | | | | |
| | (MSDs) of 2022 | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 2. What was the main reason for Ahigh rates of workplace a | | | | | |
| selectingthe inspection priority area? including fatal and serious | | | | | |
| guidelines from State Au | | | | | |
| 3. What was defined as priority area? | economy | | | | |
| a particular hazard(s) | المام المام | | | | |
| 4. What was the predominant aim of to enforce compliance w | itti tile law | | | | |
| workplace inspections? in the inspected entities | | | | | |
| 5. How did you determine the number | regulations | | | | |
| of entities to be inspected? 11% of the overall register DLI's IT system | ed entities in the | | | | |
| 6. What was taken into consideration | s | | | | |
| whendetermining the number of carrying out inspection tasks | s in a | | | | |
| inspections to be carried out by given region; | | | | | |
| individual field offices (regions): | | | | | |
| The percentage rate of ins | pection task | | | | |
| assigned to each Inspector | for inspections | | | | |
| related to health and safet | y issues. (please | | | | |
| note that some Inspectors | have a % task | | | | |
| rate to deal with other issu | | | | | |

| | | | marking and chemicals) |
|------|---|-------------|--|
| 8. | Were IT tools used to identify the | YES | A brief description of IT tools |
| | target | | The IT system was to determine the target |
| | group of inspected entities in the | | group of inspected entities. |
| | described inspection campaign? | | The type of data: |
| | | | - Sector of Economic Activity |
| | | | - Rating of the entities which takes into |
| | | | account: |
| | | | - Safety of equipment and building |
| | | | - Chemical & biological agents |
| | · · | | - Hazards due to processes |
| | | | - Number of Employees |
| | | | - Existence of a written risk assessment and |
| | | | the implementation of a risk management |
| | | | system |
| | | | - Number of accidents |
| В. І | Determining the time span of inspection | n activitie | s |
| 9. | How was the breakdown of inspections | planned? | Were the inspections carried out: |
| a) | evenly throughout the whole period of | NO | |
| | the planned activities | | |
| b) | as a series of intensified inspections in | YES | A biennial OSH Inspection |
| | predetermined short periods of time | | program is prepared every two |
| | | | years. Based on this program, |
| | | | annual numerical inspection |
| | | | targets are assigned to each |
| | | | Inspector. Each Inspector who is |
| | | | dealing with health and safety |
| | | | issues at work is expected to carry |
| | | | out 192 inspections per year, that |
| | | | means approximately 16 |
| | | | inspections per month. During |
| | | | months where there is a |
| | | | |

| | | | Inspectors are expected to carry |
|-------|---|-----|---|
| | | | |
| | | | out 5 out of the 16 inspections on |
| | | | entities in the economic sector of |
| | | | the campaign |
| c) | other way (how?) | YES | Inspections are also carried out for |
| | | | the investigation of work |
| | | | accidents and dangerous |
| | | | occurrences, complaints, for |
| | | | consultation of the competed |
| | | | Authorities for issuing building |
| | | | permits, certificates, final approval |
| | | | and planning permits. |
| 10. | What was the average duration of a | | within one day, between 2 and 4 |
| | workplace visit conducted in the | | hours; |
| | framework of the programme | | |
| | implementation (the time it took to | | |
| | complete inspection activities at an | | |
| | individual establishment)? | | |
| 11. | What was the average duration of the | | within one day, between 2 and 4 |
| | activities carried out in the office of | | hours; |
| | the labour inspectorate (excluding the | | |
| | penaland administrative sanctions)? | | |
| C. Se | lecting establishments for inspection. | | |
| 12. | What criteria were used when | | overall number of workers |
| | selectingestablishments for | | the number of workers employed in |
| | inspection? | | conditions specifically relating to the subject |
| | | | of the campaign |
| | | | accident rate in a particular |
| | | | workplace |
| | | | the number of complaints |
| | | | |
| | | | concerning a particular company Sectoral criterion |
| 12 | What courses of information | | |
| 13. | What sources of information were | | inspectorate's own database |
| | usedwhen selecting establishments for | | ☐ labour inspectors' knowledge of |

| | inspection? | | workplaces |
|-------|--|--------|--|
| | | | |
| 14. | Were IT tools used to select specific | | Same answer as question no 8 |
| | inspected entities in the described | | |
| | inspection campaign? | | |
| D. Pr | rovision of staff for carrying out inspe | ctions | |
| 15. | What group of inspectors was | | \boxtimes a select team of inspectors was involved |
| | involvedin the inspection activities? | | based on their education and experience: |
| | | | between 50% and 80% of the staff |
| | | | other (please specify). The majority of |
| | | | the DLI staff participates in OSH |
| | Y The second sec | | inspections. Only a small number of |
| | | | Inspectors deals with non-OSH |
| | | | (technical inspections) |
| 16. | How were inspectors prepared for the | | For SLIC campaigns, a central level training |
| | inspection activities (additional | | is provided to all Inspectors. |
| | training)? | | For other Inspection campaigns, no |
| | | | training is provided. Written documents |
| | | | such as questionnaire with an explanatory |
| | | | document are sent to the district |
| | | | inspectorate for statistical purposes and |
| | | | to help Inspectors to carry out their |
| | | | inspections. |
| 17. | Were IT tools used to prepare labour | NO | Not a specific IT tool is used to prepare |
| | inspectors to carry out activities within | | Inspectors. Inspection questionnaires in |
| | the described inspection campaign? | | the form of checklist are used which are |
| | | | completed after each inspection by the |
| | | | Inspector who conducted the inspection. |
| | | | These questionnaires are uploaded to the |
| | | | IT system of the Department. |
| 18. | What materials were at the inspectors' | | description of the ways in which to |
| | disposal during the activities? | | implement the activities (tasks to be |
| | | | completed); |
| | | | Checklists; |

| | | | information about the rules of |
|------|--|------------|--|
| | | | programme evaluation; |
| | | | specialist, issue-related materials |
| | | | (applied technologies, machinery, |
| | | | equipment and tools, existing hazards |
| | | | and legal provisions); |
| | | | examples of reactive actions to be |
| | | | taken by inspectors upon identification of |
| | | | typical cases of incompliance; |
| | | | promotional and communication |
| | | | materials for employers; |
| 19. | Did labour inspectors use IT tools | YES | - A brief description of IT tools |
| | whencarrying out activities within the | | The IT system of the Department is used for |
| | described inspection campaign? | | the preparation of the inspection. No other |
| | | | tools are used during the Inspection. |
| | | | - IT tools were used: |
| | | | |
| | | | for labour inspector's preparation for an |
| | | | inspection at a specific inspected entity, by |
| | | | using the information in the IT system, the |
| | | | inspector has an overview of the situation |
| | | | of the entity to be inspected (e.g. no of employees, no of complaints, no of |
| | | | workplace accidents) |
| E 1. | nychromont of other regulators institu | ıtions ou | thorities for labour protection and social |
| | tners in the implementation process. | ations, au | thornes for labour protection and social |
| 20. | Can other regulators, institutions, | YES | The Pancyprian Health and Safety |
| 20. | authorities for labour protection and | ILS | Committee which includes representatives |
| | social partners submit their | | of employers' organizations, |
| | proposals ofinspection topics to the | | representatives of trade unions and |
| | inspectorate's | | representatives of other bodies such as |
| | plan of work? | | the Cyprus Chamber of Science and |
| | | | Technology, the Cyprus Safety and Health |
| | | | Association, who can make |
| | | | , |

| I | | | | proposals/suggestions for any OSH |
|---|-----|--|-----|--|
| | | | | matter. |
| | | | | |
| | 21. | Was the inspection activity the result | YES | Some campaigns might result from the |
| | | of aproposal submitted by another | | proposal of social partners such as the |
| | | regulator, institution, authority for | | campaign for Heat Stress in industry and |
| | | labour | | construction sectors. |
| | | protection or social partner? | | |
| | 22. | Was there any cooperation between | YES | - Employers' organisations |
| | | the labour inspectorate and another | | - Trade unions |
| | | regulator, institution, authority for | | |
| | | labour | | . Cyprus Chamber of Science and Technology |
| | | protection or social partner? | | - Cyprus Safety and Health Association |
| | 23. | At which stage was the cooperation | | $oxed{\boxtimes}$ at the implementation stage of the |
| | | with another regulator, institution, | | activity; |
| | | authority for labour protection or | | |
| | | social partner initiated? | | |
| | 24. | What did the cooperation with | | others (please specify). |
| | | another regulator, institution, | | Training on health and safety issues was |
| | | authority for labourprotection or | | provided to the staff of employers' |
| | | social partner involve? | | organizations and trade unions, so that they |
| | | | | could act as a training focal point and give |
| | | | | training to their members. |
| | | | | Collaboration with the Cyprus Chamber of |
| | | | | Science and Technology for the definition |
| | | | | of minimum training requirements for |
| | | | | Engineers employed on construction sites |
| | | | | or in related sectors of the construction |
| | | | | industry. |
| | 25. | Are there any legal requirements | NO | - Cyprus Statistical Service (Labour Force |
| | | obliging other regulators, institutions, | | Survey, Register of Companies) |
| | | authorities or social partners to make | | Eurostat |
| | | their data accessible to the labour | | |
| | | inspectorate for inspection needs? | | |
| ı | | | | |

| 26. | Does the labour inspectorate have | YES | - Registrar of Companies - Access to the |
|------|---|-----------|--|
| | direct online access to information | | Register of Registered Legal Entities |
| | and data collected by other bodies, | | - Pancyprian Council for the Registration of |
| | institutions and authorities? | | Contractors - Register of Approved |
| | | | Contractors for Construction and Technical |
| | | | - Cyprus Chamber of Science and |
| | | | Technology - Access to the Register of |
| | | | Licensed Consultants / Engineers (e.g. |
| | | | Architects, Civil Engineers). |
| 27. | What information obtained in the | | The information obtained above is not |
| | above manner was used for | | necessarily used for the implementation |
| | implementing the inspection | | of the inspection campaigns. |
| | campaign? | | |
| F. S | upporting inspection activities with tr | aining pr | ovided for employers or workers (combining |
| ins | pection and educational activities) | | |
| 28. | Were establishments covered by | YES | A Notice is posted on the Department's |
| | inspections provided with information | | website together with the questionnaire |
| | or training prior to the | | (checklist) prior to the commencement of |
| | commencement of the inspection | | the campaign. Also, this notice is sent by |
| | task? | | email to social partners and other |
| | | | stakeholders in order to inform their |
| | | | members about the campaign. |
| | | | Some information about the campaign is |
| | | | given to the employer and workers prior to |
| | | | the commencement of inspection task. |
| | | | No training is given. |
| 29. | Is the effectiveness of prior | NO | |
| | information or training activities taken | | |
| | into account when evaluating the | | |
| | results of the inspections? | | |
| 30. | Once the inspection activity is | YES | What form do they have? |
| | completed , are representatives of | | Employers and workers' |
| | inspected establishments provided | | representatives are verbally informed |
| | with recommendations and proposed | | about the findings of the inspection |
| | | | |

| | corrective measures – in the form of | | such as violations of the legislation and |
|------|---------------------------------------|------------|--|
| | guidance or training – regarding the | | any measures to be taken to resolve |
| | identified compliance level in | | the above. |
| | establishments operating in the field | | What percentage of establishments |
| | covered by inspection? | | selected forguidance/training (or other |
| | | | forms of communication activities) |
| | | | actually attended? |
| | | | N/A |
| G. M | lonitoring the implementation of insp | ection act | tivities |
| 31. | Was the implementation of inspection | YES | How many people were involved in |
| | activities in any way monitored and | | monitoring and coordination of the inspection |
| | coordinated? | | activity? |
| | | | One Labour Inspection Officer (LIO) at the |
| | | | headquarters is the coordinator of each |
| | | | campaign. The Head and a coordinator at |
| | | | each of the 5 District Offices. |
| | | | What was the function of those persons in |
| | | | relationto labour inspectors who implemented |
| | | | the activity(fellow labour inspectors, immediate |
| | | | supervisors, national coordinator at the labour |
| | | | inspectorate's headquarters)? |
| | | | The LIO prepares the documentation to be |
| | | | delivered to the District Offices, evaluates |
| | | | the results of the campaign and prepares |
| | | | the final report of the campaign. |
| | | | The Coordinator of each District Office |
| | | | helps / coordinates the Inspectors with |
| | | 1 | regard to the technical issues of the |
| | | | campaign and the questionnaire. |
| | | | The Head of the District Office evaluates the |
| | | | results of the campaign at his/ her district |
| | | | and prepares a report which is sent to the |
| | | | headquarters. |
| 32. | What was the scope of monitoring | | assistance in solving problems: over |
| 32. | | | |
| | andcoordinating actions regarding the | | the phone, via email, formally (official |

| | inspection activity? | | correspondence), |
|------|---------------------------------------|------------|---|
| | | | monitoring the implementation level |
| | | | of the developed quantitative plan |
| 33. | Were IT tools used to carry out | | A brief description of IT tools: |
| | monitoring and coordination | | There is a IT campaign system where |
| | activities aspart of the described | | completed questionnaires are uploaded. |
| | inspection | | Statistical reports can be prepared from this |
| | campaign? | | IT system. |
| | | | monitoring the implementation level of |
| | | | the developed qualitative plan (how?) |
| Н. С | Communicating the information about | inspection | on campaign and its results. |
| | | | |
| 34. | Did you develop any plan to | | |
| | communicate the information about | | |
| | the inspection activities to: | | |
| a) | stakeholders | YES | If so, what information did the communication |
| | in the sector covered by the | | plancontain? |
| | inspectionactivity? | | an information letter is sent by email to |
| | | | employers' organizations in the sector of the |
| | | | campaign. |
| b) | the general public? | YES | If so, what information did the communication |
| | | | plan contain? |
| | | | A Notice of the campaign is issued and |
| | | | posted on the Department's website. Also, |
| | | | this notice is distributed for publication in |
| | | | the media. |
| 35. | What was the scope of information | | |
| | communicated to: | | |
| a) | stakeholders in the sector covered by | | So that employers are aware of the |
| | the inspection activity ? | | campaign. |
| b) | the general public? | | So that employees and other people are |
| | | | aware of the campaign. |
| 36. | How was the information about | | See 34a and 34b above. |
| | the inspection activities | | |
| L | | | |

| | communicated to | | |
|-------|--|------------|--|
| | stakeholders and the general public? | | |
| I. Ev | aluating the results of the inspection a | ctivities. | Evaluation methods and tools. |
| 37. | How were the results of inspection can | npaign ev | aluated? |
| a) | based on a checklist | YES | How are the results of inspections evaluated bymeans of a checklist? The level of compliance of inspected employers in each individual sector is assessed using the results of the |
| b) | based on the number of legal measures | YES | questionnaire (checklist). The number of the following legal measures are taken into consideration: • Infringement letters • Notices of improvement and/or prohibition • Extrajudicial Fines |
| 38. | How was the information about the eff elimination of irregularities, introduction | | Penal Prosecutions spection campaign obtained (e.g. about the er standards)? |
| a) | information provided by the employer | | If so, what form did the information provided bythe employer have? Written documents such a written risk assessment or photos to prove the measures taken to comply with the provisions of the law is information selected by the Inspector during the inspection or sent to the Inspector after the inspection. |
| | | | Was the information provided by employers randomly verified by labour inspectors? Yes, if the employer informs the inspector by phone that measures have been taken to fulfill the infringements in the entity, the |

| | | Inspector asks for relevant documentation |
|-----|--------------------------------------|--|
| | | such as photos. |
| | | What is the employer's liability for providing |
| | | falseinformation? |
| | | According to the provisions of the Safety |
| | | and Health Law, any person who knows or |
| | | intentionally makes a false statement is |
| | | guilty of an offence and shall be liable to a |
| | | fine not exceeding forty thousand euros or |
| | | to imprisonment not exceeding two years |
| | | or to both penalties. |
| | | What is the ratio of information provided by |
| | | the employer to the overall pool of information |
| | | about the effects of inspections? N/A |
| b) | information provided by the labour | If so, what form did the information |
| | inspector who conducted the next | provided bythe inspector have? |
| | inspection at the workplace | During the inspection, information such as |
| | | number of employees, infringements, |
| | | worker's representatives are selected and |
| | | uploaded on the IT system by the Inspector. |
| | | The Inspector who conducts the next |
| | | inspection is able to see this information |
| | | and check whether protective of preventive |
| | | measures have been taken. |
| | | What is the ratio of information provided by |
| | | the labour inspector who conducted the next |
| | | inspectionat the workplace to the overall pool |
| | | of information about the effects of |
| | | inspections? N/A |
| | | |
| 39. | What performance indicators were | Please list and briefly describe the indicators: |
| | usedto evaluate the effectiveness of | The number of the following legal measures |
| | the | are taken into consideration when |
| | inspection campaign? | evaluating the effectiveness of the |
| | | |

| | | | campaign. • Infringement letters |
|-----|--|-----|--|
| | | | |
| | | | Notices of improvement and/or |
| | | | prohibition |
| | | | • Extrajudicial Fines |
| | | | Penal Prosecutions |
| | | | The above indicators are compared with |
| | | | the results of the previous years. |
| 40. | Were IT tools used to assess the | YES | IT tools were used to: |
| | effectiveness of the inspection | | provide compilations of data on the basis |
| | campaign? | | of which the inspection campaign was |
| | Y Committee of the comm | | assessed |
| | | | formulate an assessment of the |
| | | | effectiveness of labour inspectors' activities |
| | | | within the inspection campaign (how?) |
| | | | reports of the activities of the Inspectors |
| | | | are prepared using the IT campaign system. |
| | | | igstyle formulate conclusions resulting from the |
| | | | effects of the conducted inspection |
| | | | campaign |
| | | | |
| 41. | How were the project effects evaluated | d? | |
| a) | Was any final summary evaluation of | YES | If so, what was the scope of such evaluation? |
| | theinspection task (inspection | | A report for the activities of the Inspectors |
| | campaign) | | from all district offices is prepared by the |
| | made? | | coordinator of the campaign at the |
| | | | headquarters. |
| | | | The scope of this evaluation is to compare |
| | | | the results for each year for improving the |
| | | | questionnaires or deciding whether to |
| | | | continue the campaign for the next year. |
| b) | Were partial assessments made during | NO | |
| | the inspection activity? | | |
| | | | |

| Did you prepare a formal document | YES | If so, was the formal evaluation document |
|--|---|---|
| | | preparedby the labour inspectorate or an |
| | | |
| activity afterits completion? | | external evaluator?Please name the entities |
| | | which conducted such external evaluation. |
| | | A report is prepared internally in the DLI. |
| | | If so, what aspects of the evaluation were |
| | | includedin the formal document? (Please |
| | | specify and describe the evaluation shortly). |
| | | In the report, the following aspects with |
| | | regard to the campaign are included: |
| | | Target |
| | | • Publicity |
| | | •Results / Observations / Comments |
| | | •Conclusions / Suggestions |
| | | How were the report conclusions disseminated? |
| | | The report is sent back to the 5 District |
| | | Offices with comments or suggestions. |
| 2. How was the final document utilized i | n | The comments and suggestions of the final |
| practice? | | report are taken into consideration when |
| | | preparing the documents for the campaign |
| | | of the following year. |
| | withevaluation of the inspection activity afterits completion? 2. How was the final document utilized in | withevaluation of the inspection activity afterits completion? 2. How was the final document utilized in |